arXiv:1203.6210v1 [math.GR] 28 Mar 2012

# On the Number of Isomorphism Classes of Transversals

Vipul Kakkar[*] and R.P. Shukla
Department of Mathematics, University of Allahabad
Allahabad (India) 211 002
Email: vplkakkar@gmail.com; shuklarp@gmail.com

**Abstract**

In this paper we prove that there does not exist a subgroup $H$ of a finite group $G$ such that the number of isomorphism classes of normalized right transversals of $H$ in $G$ is four.

***Mathematical Subject Classification (2010):***20D60, 20N05
***Key words:*** Group torsion, Right loop, Normalized right transversal

## 1 Introduction and Statement of the Main Result

Let $G$ be a finite group and $H$ a subgroup of $G$. Let $S$ be a normalized right transversal (NRT) of $H$ in $G$, that is $S$ is a subset of $G$ obtained by choosing one and only one element from each right coset of $H$ in $G$ and $1 \in S$. Then $S$ has an induced binary operation $\circ$ given by $\{x \circ y\} = Hxy \cap S$, with respect to which $S$ is a right loop with identity 1, that is, a right quasigroup with both sided identity (see [13, Proposition 2.2, p.42],[9]). Conversely, every right loop can be embedded as an NRT in a group with some universal property (see [9, Theorem 3.4, p.76]). Let $\langle S \rangle$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by $S$

---

[*] The first author is supported by CSIR, Government of India.

and $H_S$ be the subgroup $\langle S\rangle \cap H$. Then $H_S = \langle\{xy(x\circ y)^{-1} | x, y \in S\}\rangle$ and $H_S S = \langle S\rangle$ (see [9]).

Identifying $S$ with the set $H\backslash G$ of all right cosets of $H$ in $G$, we get a transitive permutation representation $\chi_S : G \to Sym(S)$ defined by $\{\chi_S(g)(x)\} = Hxg \cap S, g \in G, x \in S$. The kernal $Ker\chi_S$ of this action is $Core_G(H)$, the core of $H$ in $G$.

Let $G_S = \chi_S(H_S)$. This group is known as the *group torsion* of the right loop $S$ (see [9, Definition 3.1, p.75]). The group $G_S$ depends only on the right loop structure $\circ$ on $S$ and not on the subgroup $H$. Since $\chi_S$ is injective on $S$ and if we identify $S$ with $\chi_S(S)$, then $\chi_S(\langle S\rangle) = G_S S$ which also depends only on the right loop $S$ and $S$ is an NRT of $G_S$ in $G_S S$. One can also verify that $Ker(\chi_S|_{H_S S} : H_S S \to G_S S) = Ker(\chi_S|_{H_S} : H_S \to G_S) = Core_{H_S S}(H_S)$ and $\chi_S|_S$=the identity map on $S$. If $H$ is a corefree subgroup of $G$, then there exists an NRT $T$ of $H$ in $G$ which generates $G$ (see [3]). In this case, $G = H_T T \cong G_T T$ and $H = H_T \cong G_T$. Also $(S, \circ)$ is a group if and only if $G_S$ trivial.

Let $\mathcal{T}(G,H)$ denote the set of all normalized right transversals (NRTs) of $H$ in $G$. We say that $S$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ are isomorphic (denoted by $S \cong T$), if their induced right loop structures are isomorphic. Let $\mathcal{I}(G,H)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of NRTs of $H$ in $G$.

In [10, Main Theorem, p.643], it is shown that $|\mathcal{I}((G,H))| = 1$ if and only if $H \trianglelefteq G$. It is obtained in [5, Theorem, p. 1718] that there is no pair $(G,H)$ such that $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)| = 2$. It is easy to observe that if $H$ is a non-normal subgroup of $G$ of index 3, then $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)| = 3$. The converse of this statement is proved in [6, Theorem A, p. 2025]. Also, it is shown in [12, Theorem 3.7, p.2693] that if $T_n$ denotes the number of non-isomorphic right loops of order $n$, then $|\mathcal{I}(Sym(n), Sym(n-1))| = T_n$, where $Sym(m)$ denotes the symmetric group on $m$ symbols. Moreover, if there is any pair $(G,H)$ such that the index $[G:H]$ of $H$ in $G$ is $n$ and if $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)| = T_n$, then there is a surjective homomorphism $\psi : G \to Sym(n)$ such that $\psi(H) = Sym(n-1)$ and $\psi^{-1}(Sym(n-1)) = H$ (see [12, Proposition 3.8, p.2694]).

Let $Aut_H G$ denote the group of all automorphisms of $G$ taking $H$ onto $H$. The group $Aut_H G$ acts on each isomorphism class in $\mathcal{T}(G,H)$. Thus the number of non-isomorphic right loops is at most the number of orbits of the action of $Aut_{Sym(n-1)}Sym(n)$ on $\mathcal{T}(Sym(n), Sym(n-1))$. Clearly $Core_{Sym(n)}(Sym(n-1)) = \{1\}$. For $n \neq 6, AutSym(n) = Inn(Sym(n)) \cong Sym(n)$ (see [14, Proposition 2.18, p.300]) and $AutSym(6) = Inn(Sym(6)) \rtimes C_2$ (see [14, Proposition 2.19, p.300]), where $C_n$ denotes the cyclic group of order $n$. It can be checked that $Aut_{Sym(n-1)}Sym(n) \cong Sym(n-1) \leq Inn(Sym(n))$ for all $n$. It follows from the proof of [12, Theorem 3.7, p. 2693] that binary operations of $S$ and $T$ define an element $\alpha \in Sym(n)$ such that $\alpha(1) = 1$ and $\alpha S \alpha^{-1} = T$. Which means that the number of orbits of the action of $Aut_{Sym(n-1)}Sym(n)$ on $\mathcal{T}(Sym(n), Sym(n-1))$ is precisely the number of isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{T}(Sym(n), Sym(n-1))$. The same is true for the pair $(Alt(n), Alt(n-1))$, where $Alt(m)$ denotes the alternating group of degree $m$ (since $Aut(Alt(n)) \cong Aut(Sym(n))$, $Aut_{Alt(n-1)}Alt(n) \cong Sym(n-1) \leq Inn(Sym(n))$).

Using GAP ([4]), we have calculated the number of orbits of the action by conjugation of $Sym(n-1)$ on $\mathcal{T}(Sym(n), Sym(n-1))$ for $n = 4$ and 5. These are 44 and 14022 respectively. In [7], an explicit formula for the number of orbits of the conjugation action of $Sym(n-1)$ on $\mathcal{T}(Sym(n), Sym(n-1))$ has been obtained. If $H$ has non-trivial core, then the number of $Aut_H G$-orbits in $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$ may be different from the number $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)|$. For example, let $G_1 = Sym(4)$ and $H_1 = \langle\{(1,3), (1,2,3,4)\}\rangle \cong D_8$, where $D_{2n}$ denotes the dihedral group of order $2n$. Then NRTs $\{I, (3,4), (2,3)\}$, $\{I, (3,4), (2,3,4)\}$, $\{I, (3,4), (1,2,3,4)\}$ and $\{I, (2,4,3), (2,3,4)\}$ to $H_1$ in $G_1$, where $I$ is identity permutation, lie in different orbits of $Aut_{H_1}G_1$ (as the set of orders of group elements in any two NRTs are not same). However, since $H_1$ is a non-normal subgroup of $G_1$ of index 3, $|\mathcal{I}(G_1, H_1)| = 3$.

Let $N = Core_G(H)$. Clearly $L \mapsto \nu(L) = \{Nx \mid x \in L\}$, where $\nu$ is the quotient map from $G$ to $G/N$, is a surjective map from $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$ to $\mathcal{T}(G/N, H/N)$ such that the corresponding NRTs are isomorphic.

Let $X$ denote the set of all pairs $(G, H)$, where $G$ is a finite group and $H$ a subgroup of $G$. In view of the above discussion, it seems an interesting problem to find the image set and the inverse image set of the map $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by $\varphi((G, H)) = |\mathcal{I}(G, H)|$.

In this paper, we prove that $4 \notin Image(\varphi)$, that is, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (Main Theorem)**.** *Let $G$ be finite group and $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Then $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| \neq 4$.*

The proof of the Theorem 1.1 is based by the method of contradiction and essentially uses the same techniques of [10]. Assuming the falsity of the result, we can find a pair $(G, H)$, to be called as a *minimal counterexample* such that

**(i)** $|G|$ is minimal.
**(ii)** the index $[G:H]$ is minimal and
**(iii)**$|I(G,H)| = 4$.

We will study various properties of a minimal counterexample and come to the case of a finite non-abelian simple group. With the knowledge of the order of automorphism groups of finite non-abelian simple groups, we will derive a contradiction. Unfortunately, we do not have an alternate proof of the Main Theorem where the use of the classification of finite simple groups could be avoided. However in [8], we now have a short proof of the [10, Main Theorem, p.643] where the classification of finite simple groups could be avoided.

## 2 Properties of a Minimal Counterexample

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let* $(G,H)$ *be a minimal counterexample. Then*

*(i)* $Core_G(H) = \{1\}$,

*(ii) if* $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ *such that* $\langle S\rangle = G$, *then there exists an isomorphism* $f : G \to G_S S$ *which takes* $H$ *onto* $G_S$ *and fixes* $S$ *elementwise,*

*(iii) if* $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ *such that* $\langle S\rangle \neq G$, *then* $H_S = \{1\}$.

*Proof.* (i) Let $N = Core_G(H)$. Assume that $N \neq \{1\}$. Since the quotient map $\nu : G \to G/N$ induces a surjective correspondence between $\mathcal{T}(G,H)$ and $\mathcal{T}(G/N, H/N)$ such that the corresponding right loops are isomorphic, $|\mathcal{I}(G/N, H/N)| < 4$, for $(G,H)$ is a minimal counterexample.

If $|\mathcal{I}(G/N, H/N)| = 1$, then $H/N \trianglelefteq G/N$ (see [10, Main Theorem, p.643]) and so $H \trianglelefteq G$, a contradiction. By [5, Theorem, p. 1718], $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)| \neq 2$. If $|\mathcal{I}(G/N, H/N)| = 3$, then $[G:H] = [G/N : N/H] = 3$ (see [6, Theorem A, p.2025]). But in this case, $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)| = 3$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) Let $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ such that $\langle S\rangle = G$. Then $H_S = H$. Let $\chi_S : H_S S \to G_S S$ be the surjective homomorphism defined as in the second paragraph of the Section 1. Then $Ker\chi_S = \{1\}$ (by (i)). Hence $\chi_S$ is an isomorphism which takes $H$ onto $G_S$ and fixes $S$ elementwise.

(iii) Let $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$. Assume that $H_S S = \langle S\rangle \neq G$. Since $\mathcal{T}(\langle S\rangle, H_S) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ and $(G,H)$ is a minimal counterexample, $|\mathcal{I}(\langle S\rangle, H_S)| < 4$. By [5, Theorem, p. 1718], $|\mathcal{I}(H_S S, H_S)| \neq 2$ and by [6, Theorem A, p.2025], $|\mathcal{I}(H_S S, H_S)| \neq 3$. Hence $|\mathcal{I}(\langle S\rangle, H_S)| = 1$. Thus, by [10, Main Theorem, p.643] $H_S \trianglelefteq \langle S\rangle$. Let $\chi_S : H_S S \to G_S S$ be the map defined as in the second paragraph of the Section 1. Then $H_S \subseteq Ker\chi_S \subseteq Core_G(H) = \{1\}$. Hence $H_S = \{1\}$, that is $S$ is a subgroup of $G$. $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let $H$ be a corefree subgroup of a finite group $G$. Let $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ such that $\langle S \rangle = G$. Then $Aut_H G$ acts transitively on the set $\{T \in \mathcal{T}(G,H) | T \cong S\}$.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from the first paragraph of the proof of [10, Proposition 2.7, p.652]. $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $(G,H)$ be a minimal counterexample. Let $N$ be a proper $Aut_H G$-invariant subgroup of $G$ containing $H$ properly. Then there exists $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ such that $S \neq TL$ for any $T \in \mathcal{T}(N,H)$ and $L \in \mathcal{T}(G,N)$.*

*Proof.* Assume that each $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ can be written as $S = TL$, for some $T \in \mathcal{T}(N,H)$ and $L \in \mathcal{T}(G,N)$. Then $|\mathcal{T}(G,H)| \leq |\mathcal{T}(N,H)|\,|\mathcal{T}(G,N)|$. Keeping the same lines as in the proof of [5, Lemma 2.5, p.1720], we observe that $|H| = 2, N \cong C_4$ and $|\mathcal{I}(G,N)| \leq 3$. If $|\mathcal{I}(G,N)| = 1$, then $N \trianglelefteq G$ (see [10, Main Theorem, p.643]). But then this implies $H \trianglelefteq G$, a contradiction. Also, by [5, Theorem, p. 1718] $|\mathcal{I}(G,N)| \neq 2$.

Thus $|\mathcal{I}(G,N)| = 3$. Then $[G:N] = 3$ (see [6, Theorem A, p.2025]). This means that $|G| = 12$ and $G$ contains a cyclic subgroup of order 4. By the classification of non-abelian groups of order 12, the only choice for $G$ is $G \cong C_3 \rtimes C_4$. But $C_3 \rtimes C_4$ has a unique subgroup of order 2, hence normal in $G$. This is again a contradiction. $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let $(G,H)$ be a minimal counterexample. Let $N$ be a proper $Aut_H G$-invariant subgroup of $G$ containing $H$ properly. Let $K \in \mathcal{T}(N,H)$. Then there exists $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ containing $K$ such that $S \neq KL$ for any $L \in \mathcal{T}(G,N)$.*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let $G = D_8$ and $H$ be a non-normal subgroup of $G$ of order 2. Then $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)| = 6$*

*Proof.* Let $H = \{1, x\}$. Let $y \in G$ be of order 4 and $N = \langle y \rangle$. Then $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ with $xyx = y^3$ and $Aut_H G = \{I, i_x\}$, where $i_x$ denotes the inner automorphism of $G$ determined by $x$. Let $\epsilon : N \to H$ be a function with $\epsilon(1) = 1$. Let $S_\epsilon = \{\epsilon(y^i)y^i | 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$. Note that $xS_\epsilon x^{-1} = S_\epsilon$ means that $\epsilon(y^i)y^i \in S_\epsilon$ if and only if $\epsilon(y^i)y^{4-i} \in S_\epsilon$. This implies that $S_1 = N = \{I, y, y^2, y^3\}$, $S_2 = \{I, xy, y^2, xy^3\}$, $S_3 = \{I, y, xy^2, y^3\}$ and $S_4 = \{I, xy, xy^2, xy^3\}$ are the fixed point of the action of $Aut_H G$ on $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$. Since $|\mathcal{T}(G, H)| = 8$, there are two orbits of length 2. Let $S_5 = \{I, xy, y^2, y^3\}$, $S_6 = \{I, xy, xy^2, y^3\}$. Then the NRTs $S_5$ and $S_6$ are in the distinct $Aut_H G$ orbits which are not singletons.

One observes that $S_1 \cong C_4$, $S_2 \cong C_2 \times C_2$ and $\langle S_i \rangle = G$ $(3 \leq i \leq 6)$. Then $S_1 \ncong S_2$. Further, if $S_i \cong S_j$ for $3 \leq i \neq j \leq 6$, then by Proposition 2.2 $xS_i x^{-1} = S_j$, which is a contradiction (for $S_i$ and $S_j$ lie in different $Aut_H G$-orbits). □

**Remark 2.6.** *(i) By the same argument as above, we find that* $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| = 20$, *where* $G = D_{12}$ *and* $H$ *is a non-normal subgroup of* $G$ *of order 2 (see also [6, Remark 2.7, p. 2028]). In [7], a formula for the number of orbits of the action of* $Aut_H G$ *on* $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$ *has been obtained.*

*(ii) As argued in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we see that if* $H$ *is a corefree subgroup of a finite group* $G$*, then the NRTs from different orbits of the action of* $Aut_H G$ *on* $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$ *which generate the group* $G$ *represent pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs.*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let* $G = Alt(4)$ *and* $H$ *be a subgroup of* $G$ *of order* 2. *Then* $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| = 5$.

*Proof.* Since $H$ is a subgroup of $G = Alt(4)$ of order 2, there is a unique Sylow 2-subgroup $P$ of $Sym(4)$ such that $H = Z(P)$, the center of $P$. Since $Aut(Alt(4)) \cong Inn(Sym(4)) \cong Sym(4)$, $Aut_H G \cong N_{Sym(4)}(H) (= P)$, the normalizer of $H$ in $Sym(4)$. As there is no subgroup of $Alt(4)$ of index 2, $\langle S \rangle = Alt(4)$ for all $S \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$. By Remark 2.6(ii), $|\mathcal{I}(Alt(4), H)|$ is precisely the number of orbits of the conjugation action of $P$ on $\mathcal{T}(Alt(4), H)$.

We may assume that $H = \{I, x = (1, 2)(3, 4)\}$ (as any two elements of order 2 in $G$ are conjugate). Let $P = \langle (1, 2), (1, 3, 2, 4) \rangle$. Then $Aut_H(Alt(4)) = \{i_g | g \in P\}$, where for $g \in P$, $i_g$ denotes the conjugation of $Alt(4)$ by $g$.

Let $T = \{I, y = (1, 3)(2, 4)\}$, $L = \{I, z = (1, 2, 3), z^{-1} = (1, 3, 2)\}$ and $S = TL$. Then $S \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$. Let $S_1 = S = \{I, y, z, z^{-1}, yz^{-1}, yz\}$, $S_2 =$

$\{I, y, z, z^{-1}, yz^{-1}, xyz\}$, $S_3 = \{I, y, z, z^{-1}, xyz^{-1}, xyz\}$, $S_4 = \{I, y, z, z^{-1}, xyz^{-1}, yz\}$ and $S_5 = \{I, y, z, xz^{-1}, yz^{-1}, yz\}$. Let $i \in \{1, \cdots, 5\}$. We note that, if $g \in P$ such that $gS_ig^{-1} = S_i$, then $gyg^{-1} = y$ and so $g = x$. Since $xzx^{-1} = yz$ and $xz^{-1}x^{-1} = xyz^{-1}$, it follows that $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4$ and $S_5$ lie in different orbits with orbit length 8,8,8,4 and 4 respectively. □

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let $(G, H)$ be a minimal counterexample and $N$ be a proper $Aut_HG$-invariant subgroup of $G$. Let $K \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ which is a subgroup of $N$. Then $[G : N] \neq 2$.*

*Proof.* If possible, assume that $[G : N] = 2$. Further, assume that $[N : H] = 2$. Since $Core_G(H) = \{1\}$ (Proposition 2.1(i)), we can identify $G$ with a subgroup of $Sym(4)$. The only possibility for the pair $(G, N)$ we are left with is $G$, a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Sym(4)$, $N \cong C_2 \times C_2$. Hence $G \cong D_8$. By Lemma 2.5, $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| = 6$, a contradiction. Thus $[N : H] > 2$. Let $L = \{1, l\} \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$, $k_2, k_3 (\neq k_2) \in K \setminus \{1\}$ and $U = K \setminus \{k_3\} \cup \{hk_3\}$, where $h \in H \setminus \{1\}$. We note that $U$ is not a subgroup of $N$, for $k_2, k_3k_2^{-1} \in U$ but $k_3 = (k_3k_2^{-1})k_2 \notin U$. Let $S_1 = KL$ and $S_2 = UL$. As $U = N \cap S_2$ is not a subgroup of $N$, $S_2$ is not subgroup of $G$. Let $S_3 = (S_1 \setminus \{k_3l\}) \cup \{hk_3l\}$, $S_4 = (S_1 \setminus \{k_2l, k_3l\}) \cup \{hk_2l, hk_3l\}$ and $S_5 = S_2 \setminus \{hk_3l\} \cup \{k_3l\}$. Observe that $S_i$ $(3 \leq i \leq 5)$ are not subgroups of $G$, for $hk_3 = (hk_3l)(l^{-1}) \notin S_i$ $(i = 3, 4)$ and $k_3 = (k_3l)(l^{-1}) \notin S_5$.

We also claim that $S_i \neq T'L'$ $(3 \leq i \leq 5)$ for any $T' \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$. If possible, suppose that $S_3 = T'L'$ for some $T' \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$. Then $T' = S_3 \cap N = K$. Since $[G : N] = 2$, either $hk_3l \in L'$ or $kl \in L'$ for some $k \in K \setminus \{k_3\}$. Further, $N = HK$ is a subgroup of $G$, $hk_3 = k_3'h'$ for some $k_3' \in K$ and $h' \in H$. Also $h' \neq 1$, for $K \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$. Assume that $hk_3l \in L'$. Then $k_3'^{-1}(hk_3l) = h'l \in KL' = S_3$. This is a contradiction, for $l \in S_3$ and $h' \neq 1$. Thus $kl \in L'$ for some $k \in K \setminus \{k_3\}$. Since $hk_3l \in S_3$, we have $hk_3l = k'(kl)$ for some $k' \in K$. This implies that $hk_3 \in K$, a contradiction. Similarly $S_4 \neq T'L'$ for any $T' \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$. We again claim the same for $S_5$. If possible, suppose that $S_5 = T'L'$ for some $T' \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$. As argued above $T' = U$. Since $[G : N] = 2$, $kl \in L'$ for some $k \in K$. If $k = 1$, then $(hk_3)l \in UL' = S_5$, a contradiction. Thus $k \neq 1$. This means that $k_3k \in U$, which implies that $k_3kl \in S_5$. Hence $(hk_3)kl = h(k_3kl)$ can not be in $UL' = S_5$, a contradiction.

We now show that $S_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs of $H$ in $G$. Since $S_i \neq T'L'$ $(3 \leq i \leq 5)$ for any $T' \in \mathcal{T}(N,H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G,N)$, $S_1 \ncong S_i$ $(3 \leq i \leq 5)$ and $S_2 \ncong S_i$ $(3 \leq i \leq 5)$. Further, since $U$ is not a subgroup of $N$, $S_1 \ncong S_2$, $S_3 \ncong S_5$ and $S_4 \ncong S_5$ (as $K \subseteq S_i$ for $i \in \{1,3,4\}$ and $N$ is an $Aut_H G$-invariant subgroup of $G$). Next, assume that $S_3 \cong S_4$. Then, by Proposition 2.2 there exists $f \in Aut_H G$ such that $f(S_3) = S_4$. Hence $f(K) = K$ (for $N$ is an $Aut_H G$-invariant subgroup of $G$). Assume that $f(l) = kl$ for some $k \in K$. Then $f(k'l) = f(k')kl \in Kl$ for all $k' \in K$. In this case, either $hk_2l$ or $hk_3l$ can not be image of any element in $S_4$, a contradiction. Hence $f(l) = hk_2l$ or $f(l) = hk_3l$. Suppose that $f(l) = hk_2l$. Since $N = HK$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $K \in \mathcal{T}(N,H)$, $hk_2 = k_2'h'$ for some $k_2' \in K \setminus \{1\}$ and $h' \in H \setminus \{1\}$. Let $k_2'' \in K$ such that $f(k_2'') = {k_2'}^{-1}$. This implies that $f(k_2''l) = {k_2'}^{-1}(hk_2l) = h'l$, which is a contradiction for $l \in S_3$. Similarly $f(l) \neq hk_3l$. Hence $S_3 \ncong S_4$.

□

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let $G$ be a finite group. Let $H$ be a non-normal, abelian, corefree subgroup of $G$ and $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$ containing $H$ such that $[N:H] = 2$. Then*

*(i) if $[G:N] = 2$, then $G \cong D_8$ and $|H| = 2$.*

*(ii) if $[G:N] = 3$, then $G \cong Alt(4) \times C_2$ and $H \cong C_2 \times C_2$ or $G \cong Alt(4)$ and $H \cong C_2$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Assume that $[G:N] = 2$. Then as argued in the first few lines of Lemma 2.8, $G \cong D_8$, $N \cong C_2 \times C_2$ and $H$ is a non-normal subgroup of $G$ of order 2.

(ii) Assume that $[G:N] = 3$. We can identify $G$ with a subgroup of $Sym(6)$. Since the order of an abelian subgroups of $Sym(6)$ is at most 9 ([1, Theorem 1, p. 70]), $|H| \leq 9$. Further, since $Sym(6)$ has no subgroup of order 54, $|H| \neq 9$. Assume that $|H| = 8$. Then $|N| = 16$. Hence $N$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Sym(6)$. Since $G \subseteq N_{Sym(6)}(N)$ (the normalizer of $N$ in $Sym(6)$) and a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Sym(6)$ is self-normalizing ([15, Corollary 1, p. 123]), $N = G$, a contradiction. Further $|H| \neq 7$, for $Sym(6)$ does not contain a 7-cycle.

Next, assume that $|H| = 6$. Then $|N| = 12$. By classification of groups of order 12, $N \cong D_{12}$. Since $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $N$ contains a unique cyclic subgroup of order 6, $H \trianglelefteq G$, a contradiction. Next, assume that $|H| = 5$. Then $N \cong D_{10}$. Hence, in this case also $H \trianglelefteq G$. Similar argument shows that $|H| \neq 3$.

Next, assume that $|H| = 4$. Then $|N| = 8$. Assume that $H \cong C_4$. Since $Sym(6)$ does not contain an 8-cycle, $N \ncong C_8$. Suppose that $N \cong (C_4 \times C_2)$. Then, by [2, 84(ii), p.102] $G \cong N \times C_3$. This is a contradiction, for the order of an abelian subgroup of $Sym(6)$ is at most 9 ([1, Theorem 1, p. 70]). Assume that $N \cong D_8$. Then as argued in the above paragraph $H \trianglelefteq G$, a contradiction. Let $N \cong Q_8$ (the quaternion group of order 8). By [2, 84(iv), p. 103], either $G \cong (Q_8 \times C_3)$ or $G \cong (Q_8 \rtimes C_3)$. If $G \cong (Q_8 \times C_3)$, then $H \trianglelefteq G$ ([11, 5.3.7 (Dedekind, Baer), p. 143]), a contradiction. Hence $G \cong (Q_8 \rtimes C_3)$. But in this case $|Core_G(H)| = 2$, a contradiction. Thus, $H \cong C_2 \times C_2$. This implies $N \cong D_8$ or $N \cong C_2 \times C_4$ or $N \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Also if $N \cong D_8$, then $H \trianglelefteq G$ (since $N$ contains a unique non-cyclic subgroup of order 4). This is a contradiction. If $N \cong C_2 \times C_4$, then $G \cong (C_2 \times C_4) \times C_3$ ([2, 84(ii), p.102]), a contradiction. Thus $N \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. By [2, 84(iii), p.102], $G \cong Alt(4) \times C_2$.

Lastly, assume that $|H| = 2$. Then either $N \cong C_4$ or $N \cong C_2 \times C_2$. If $N \cong C_4$, then by classification of non-abelian groups of order 12, $G \cong C_4 \rtimes C_3$. But in this case $H \trianglelefteq G$, a contradiction. Hence $N \cong C_2 \times C_2$. Since $N \trianglelefteq G$, $G \cong Alt(4)$. □

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let* $G \cong Alt(4) \times C_2$ *and* $H$ *be a corefree subgroup of* $G$ *of index* 6*. Then* $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| > 4$.

*Proof.* Since $Core_G(H) = \{1\}$, we can identify $G$ with a subgroup of $Sym(6)$. Thus, there exist subgroups $K$ and $L$ of $Sym(6)$ such that $K \cong Alt(4)$, $L \cong C_2$, $K \cap L = \{1\}$, $G = KL$, $K \trianglelefteq G$ and $L \trianglelefteq G$. Further, since $Core_G(H) = \{1\}$, $H \cap L = \{1\}$ and $|H \cap K| = 2$. Thus $HK = G$ and so $\mathcal{T}(K, K \cap H) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(G, H)$. By Lemma 2.7, $|\mathcal{I}(K, K \cap H)| = 5$. Thus $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| > 4$. □

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let* $(G, H)$ *be a minimal counterexample. Let* $N$ *be an* $Aut_H G$*-invariant subgroup of* $G$ *such that* $[N : H] = 2$*. Assume that there exists* $T \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$ *which is a subgroup of* $N$*. Then* $[G : N] \in \{2, 3\}$.

*Proof.* If possible, assume that $[G:N]\geq 4$. Let $T=\{1,x\}\in\mathcal{T}(N,H)$ which is a subgroup of $N$. Let $L=\{1,l_2,l_3,l_4,...,l_r\}\in\mathcal{T}(G,N)$ and $h\in H\setminus\{1\}$. Consider $S=TL$, $S_1=(S\setminus\{x\})\cup\{hx\}$, $S_2=(S\setminus\{l_r\})\cup\{hl_r\}$, $S_3=(S\setminus\{l_{r-1},l_r\})\cup\{hl_{r-1},hl_r\}$ and $S_4=(S\setminus\{l_{r-2},l_{r-1},l_r\})\cup\{hl_{r-2},hl_{r-1},hl_r\}$. As argued in the proof of [6, Lemma 2.12, p.2030], $S$ and $S_i$ $(1\leq i\leq 3)$ are non-isomorphic NRTs.

As argued in the second paragraph of the proof of [6, Lemma 2.12, p.2030], we can show that $S_4$ is not a subgroup of $G$ and $S_4\neq T'L'$ for any $T'\in\mathcal{T}(N,H)$ and $L'\in\mathcal{T}(G,N)$. This shows that $S_4\ncong S$. Now, we show that $S_4\ncong S_i$ $(1\leq i\leq 3)$. Assume that $S_4\cong S_3$. Then by Proposition 2.2, there exists $f\in Aut_HG$ such that $f(S_3)=S_4$. Since $N$ and $H$ are $Aut_HG$-invariant, $f(x)=x$. Further, since $[G:N]\geq 4$, there exist $i\in\{0,1\}$ and $k\in\{2\cdots r-3\}$ such that $f(x^il_k)=hl_j$ for some $j\in\{r-2,r-1,r\}$. Hence $f(x^{i+1}l_k)=f(x)hl_j=xhl_j\notin S_4$, a contradiction. Similarly, $S_4\ncong S_i$ $(i=1,2)$. Thus $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)|>4$, a contradiction. □

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let $(G,H)$ be a minimal counterexample. Then $\langle S\rangle=G$ for all $S\in\mathcal{T}(G,H)$.*

*Proof.* On the contrary, assume that there exists $S'\in\mathcal{T}(G,H)$ such that $\langle S'\rangle\neq G$. Then $S'$ is a subgroup of $G$ (Proposition 2.1(iii)). Thus $K=S'\cap N\in\mathcal{T}(N,H)$ is a subgroup of $N$. Further, assume that all the members of $\mathcal{T}(N,H)$ are subgroups of $N$. This implies that $N\cong H\rtimes C_2$ and $H$ is abelian ([5, Lemma 2.4, p.1719]). By Lemma 2.11, $[G:N]=2$ or $[G:N]=3$.

Assume that $[G:N]=2$. By Lemma 2.9(i), $G\cong D_8$ and $H\cong C_2$. But in this case, $|I(G,H)|=6$ (Lemma 2.5), a contradiction. Thus $[G:N]=3$. Hence $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Sym(6)$. By Lemma 2.9(ii), the choices for the pair $(G,H)$ in this case are $G\cong Alt(4)\times C_2$, $H\cong C_2\times C_2$ or $G\cong Alt(4)$, $H\cong C_2$. By Lemmas 2.10 and 2.7, $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)|>4$, again a contradiction. Thus, there exists $U\in\mathcal{T}(N,H)$ which is not a subgroup of $G$.

Let $L_1\in\mathcal{T}(G,N)$, $S_1=S'$ and $S_2=UL_1$. By Corollary 2.4, there exists $S_3\in\mathcal{T}(G,H)$ such that $U\subseteq S_3$ and $S_3\neq UL$ for any $L\in\mathcal{T}(N,H)$. Also, let $S_4=KL_1$, $S_4'=(S_4\setminus\{l\})\cup\{hl\}$, where $h\in H\setminus\{1\}$ and $l\in L_1\setminus\{1\}$. Let $S_5=(UL_1\setminus U)\cup K$. Let ${S_4}^{\epsilon}$ denote $S_4$ if it is not subgroup of $G$, otherwise it is $S_4'$. As argued in the paragraphs three and four of the proof of [6, Lemma 2.16, p.2032], $S_1$, $S_2$, $S_3$ and $S_4^{\epsilon}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs and each of $S_2$, $S_3$ and $S_4^\epsilon$ generates $G$. We show that $S_5$ is not isomorphic to $S_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq 4)$.

The NRT $S_5$ is not a subgroup of $G$, for if $u \in U \setminus K$, then $l, ul \in S_5$, but $u = (ul)l^{-1} \notin S_5$.

Next, assume that $S_5 = K'L'$, for some $K' \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$. Then $K' = N \cap S_5 = K$. Fix $u \in U \setminus K$. If possible, assume that $ku \in U$ for all $k \in K \setminus U$. Then $ku \in U \setminus K$ for all $k \in K \setminus U$ ( since $K$ is a subgroup of $G$). Thus the map $k \mapsto ku$ is a bijection from $K \setminus U$ to $U \setminus K$. But, this is a contradiction, for $u \in U \setminus K$ is not an image under this map. Thus, there exists $k \in K \setminus U$ such that $ku \notin U$. Fix such a $k \in K \setminus U$.

Since $k'l, u'l \in S_5$ $(k' \in K \cap U, u' \in U \setminus K)$ are in the same right coset of $N$ in $G$ and $L' \subseteq S_5$, either $k'l \in L'$ for some $k' \in K \cap U$ or $u'l \in L'$ for some $u' \in U \setminus K$. Suppose that $u'l \in L'$ for some $u' \in U \setminus K$. Then as argued in the above paragraph, there exists $k' \in K \setminus U$ such that $k'u' \notin U$. This implies that $k'(u'l) \notin S_5$, which is a cotntradiction. Thus $k'l \in L'$ for some $k' \in K \cap U$. But in this case, for any $u' \in U \setminus K$, $u'l \in S_5$ can not be written as a product of a member of $K$ and a member of $L'$. This is again a contradiction. Thus $S_5 \neq K'L'$ for any $K' \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ and for any $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$. Hence $S_5$ is neither isomorphic to $S_2$ nor isomorphic to $S_4$ (if $S_4^\epsilon = S_4$). If possible assume that $S_5 \cong S_3$. Then by Proposition 2.2 there exists $f \in Aut_H G$ such that $f(S_5) = S_3$. Since $N$ is an $Aut_H G$-invariant subgroup of $G$, $f(K) = U$. This is a contradiction (for $U$ is not a subgroup of $G$).

Finally, assume that $S_4^\epsilon = S_4'$ and $S_5 \cong S_4'$. Since $\langle S_4' \rangle = \langle S_5 \rangle = G$, by Proposition 2.2 there exists $f \in Aut_H G$ such that $f(S_4') = S_5$. As $N$ is $Aut_H G$-invariant, $f(K) = f(S_4' \cap N) = S_5 \cap N = K$. Since $S_4$ is a subgroup of $G$, $S_5' = (S_5 \setminus \{f(hl)\}) \cup \{f(l)\}$ is also a subgroup of $G$. We claim that $f(hl) \neq l$. Suppose that $f(hl) = l$. Then $f(l) = h_1 l$, where $h_1 = f(h)^{-1} \in H$. Since $k, ul \in S_5'$, but $k(ul) \notin S_5'$, a contradiction (for $S_5'$ is a subgroup of $G$). Thus $f(hl) \neq l$. Since $f(hl) \notin K$, there exists $u_1 \in U$ and $l_1 \in L_1$ such that $f(hl) = u_1 l_1$. By Lemma 2.8, $|L_1| \geq 3$. Let $l_2 \in L_1 \setminus \{1, l_1\}$. Then $k, ul_2 \in S_5'$, but $k(ul_2) \notin S_5'$, a contradiction.

□

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let $(G, H)$ be a minimal counterexample and $S \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$. Then $S$ is indecomposable.*

*Proof.* If possible, suppose that $S$ is decomposable and $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_n$ $(n \geq 2)$ is a Remak-Krull-Schimdt decomposition of $S$ (see [10, Theorem 1.11, p.648]). By Proposition 2.12 and [10, Remark 2.4, p. 650], we may identify $(G, H)$ with $(G_{S_1}S_1 \times G_{S_2}S_2 \times \cdots \times G_{S_n}S_n, G_{S_1} \times G_{S_2} \times \cdots \times G_{S_n})$. We claim that $|\mathcal{I}(G_{S_i}S_i, G_{S_i})| \leq 4$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. If possible, assume that there exists $k$ $(1 \leq k \leq n)$ such that $|\mathcal{I}(G_{S_k}S_k, G_{S_k})| > 4$. Let $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, T_5 \in \mathcal{T}(G_{S_k}S_k, G_{S_k})$ be pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs. Then $L_i = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1} \times T_i \times S_{k+1} \times \cdots \times S_n$ $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs of $H$ in $G$ by Remak-Krull-Schimdt Theorem (see [10, Theorem 1.11, p.648]), a contradiction.

Since $(G, H)$ is a minimal counterexample, $|\mathcal{I}(G_{S_k}S_k, G_{S_k})| \leq 3$ for all $k \in \{1 \cdots n\}$. Further, since by [5, Theorem, p. 1718] $|\mathcal{I}(G_{S_k}S_k, G_{S_k})| \neq 2$ for all $k \in \{1 \cdots n\}$, either $|\mathcal{I}(G_{S_k}S_k, G_{S_k})| = 1$ or $|\mathcal{I}(G_{S_k}S_k, G_{S_k})| = 3$. In either case, we get $T_k \in \mathcal{T}(G_{S_k}S_k, G_{S_k})$ which is a group. Let $T = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_n$. Then $T \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$ and is a group. Since $\langle T \rangle = G$ (Proposition 2.12), by Proposition 2.1(ii), $H = G_T = \{1\}$, a contradiction. $\square$

# 3 Proof of the Theorem

In this section, we study some more properties of a minimal counterexample and reduce it to the case of a finite non-abelian simple group. Then we apply the classification of finite simple groups (the knowledge of the order of automorphism groups of finite non-abelian simple groups) to complete the proof of the Main Theorem.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $(G, H)$ be a minimal counterexample. Then $G$ is indecomposable.*

*Proof.* If possible, suppose that $G$ is decomposable. Let $G_1$ and $G_2$ be non-trivial proper normal subgroups of $G$ such that $G = G_1G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}$. Let $\pi_i : G \rightarrow G_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be projections. Let $\pi_i(H) = U_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. The restriction $\pi_i|_H$ of $\pi_i$ to $H$ induces isomorphism $\sigma_i : H/(H \cap G_1)(H \cap G_2) \rightarrow (U_i/(H \cap G_i))$ $(i = 1, 2)$. This gives an isomorphism $\theta = \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1^{-1}$ from $U_1/(H \cap G_1)$ to $U_2/(H \cap G_2)$ given by $\theta(\pi_1(h)(H \cap G_1)) = \pi_2(h)(H \cap G_2)$, $h \in H$. Also

$$H = \{u_1u_2 \in U_1U_2 | \theta(u_1(H \cap G_1)) = u_2(H \cap G_2)\}.$$

Since $Core_G(H) = \{1\}$ (Proposition 2.1(i)), $H \cap G_i \neq G_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that $H \cap G_1 = U_1$. Then the isomorphism $\theta$ implies $H \cap G_2 = U_2$. Now as argued in the second paragraph of the proof of [10, Proposition 2.6, p. 650], replacing [10, Proposition 2.5] by Proposition 2.13, we get an $S \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$ which is a direct product of nontrivial right loops $S_1$ and $S_2$. This is a contradiction (Proposition 2.13).

We may now assume that $H \cap G_i \neq U_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Suppose that $U_1 = G_1$ and $U_2 = G_2$. Then as argued in the third paragraph of the proof of [10, Proposition 2.6, p. 650] replacing [10, Corollary 2.3] by Proposition 2.1(i), we get $G_2 \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$, a contradiction (Proposition 2.12).

Thus, we may assume that $H \cap G_i \neq U_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and $U_1 \neq G_1$. Then by the same argument as in the last paragraph of the proof of [10, Proposition 2.6, p. 650], we get an $S \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$ which is decomposable. This is a contradiction (Proposition 2.13). □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let $(G, H)$ be a minimal counterexample. Let $N$ be an $Aut_H G$-invariant proper subgroup of $G$ containing $H$ properly. Then*

*(i) $Aut_H G$ has at most two orbits in $\mathcal{T}(N, H)$,*

*(ii)$H \trianglelefteq N$ and*

*(iii) there are no $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ which are in distinct $Aut_H G$-orbits such that $K_1$ is a subgroup of $N$ but $K_2$ is not a subgroup of $N$.*

*Proof.* (i) Assume that $Aut_H G$ has more than two orbits in $\mathcal{T}(N, H)$. Let $K_2$, $K_2$ and $K_3$ be in distinct $Aut_H G$-orbits in $\mathcal{T}(N, H)$. Fix $L \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$. Then $K_1 L$, $K_2 L$, $K_3 L \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$. By Corollary 2.4, there exist $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$ in $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$ containing $K_1$, $K_2$ and $K_3$ respectively such that $S_i \neq K_i L'$, $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ for any $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$.

Since there is no $f \in Aut_H G$ such that $f(K_i) = K_j$ $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$ and $\langle S \rangle = G$ for all $S \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$ (Proposition 2.12), thus $S_1$, $S_2$, $S_3$, $K_1 L$, $K_2 L$ and $K_3 L$ are pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs in $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$, a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose that $H$ is not normal in $N$. Then by [10, Main Theorem, p.643] and [5, Theorem, p. 1718], $|\mathcal{I}(N, H)| > 2$. Let $K_1$, $K_2$ and $K_3$ be pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs in $\mathcal{T}(N, H)$. As argued in (i), we get $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| > 4$, a contradiction. Thus $H \trianglelefteq N$.

(iii) Let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ be in distinct $Aut_H G$-orbits. If possible, suppose that $K_1$ is a subgroup of $N$ but $K_2$ is not a subgroup of $N$. Let $L = \{1, l_2, \cdots, l_r\} \in \mathcal{T}(G, N)$. By Lemma 2.8, $|L| \geq 3$. Let $h \in H \setminus \{1\}$.

Let $S_1 = K_1L$, $S_2 = K_2L$, $S_3 = (S_1 \setminus \{l_r\}) \cup \{hl_r\}$, $S_4 = (S_1 \setminus \{l_{r-1}, l_r\}) \cup \{hl_{r-1}, hl_r\}$. By Corollary 2.4, there exists $S_5 \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ containing $K_2$ such that $S_5 \neq K_2L'$ for any $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G,N)$. By Proposition 2.12, $\langle S_i \rangle = G$ for all $i$ $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$.

We claim that $S_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs in $\mathcal{T}(G,H)$. Since $N$ is an $Aut_HG$-invariant subgroup of $G$, $S_i \ncong S_j$ $(i = 1,3,4;$ $j = 2,5)$ (for otherwise by Proposition 2.2 $f(K_1) = K_2$ for some $f \in Aut_HG$ ). Assume that $S_1 \cong S_3$. By Proposition 2.2 there exists $f \in Aut_HG$ such that $f(S_1) = S_3$. As $N$ is an $Aut_HG$-invariant subgroup of $G$, $f(K_1) = K_1$. If possible, suppose that $f(l) = hl_r$ for some $l \in L$. Let $k \in K_1 \setminus \{1\}$ and $f(k) = k_1$. Then $f(kl) = k_1(hl_r)$. Since $N = HK_1$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $K_1 \in \mathcal{T}(N,H)$, $k_1h = h'k_1'$ for some $k_1' \in K_1 \setminus \{1\}$ and $h' \in H \setminus \{1\}$. This implies that $f(kl) = h'(k_1'l_r) \in S_3$. This is a contradiction, for $k_1'l_r \in S_3$. Therefore $f(k'l) = hl_r$ for some $k' \in K_1 \setminus \{1\}$. This implies that $f(l) = f(k')^{-1}hl_r \in S_3$. As argued above, this gives a contradiction. Hence $S_1 \ncong S_3$. Similar arguments prove that $S_1 \ncong S_4$ and $S_3 \ncong S_4$. Now, assume that $S_2 \cong S_5$. By Proposition 2.2 there exists $f \in Aut_HG$ such that $f(S_2) = S_5$. Since $N$ is $Aut_HG$-invariant subgroup of $G$, $f(K_2) = K_2$ and so $S_5 = K_2f(L)$, a contradiction to the choice of $S_5$.

□

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let $(G,H)$ be a minimal counterexample. Then $G$ is characteristically simple.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $U$ is a nontrivial proper characteristic subgroup of $G$. Further, assume that $UH = G$. Then since $\mathcal{T}(U, U \cap H) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(UH,H) = \mathcal{T}(G,H)$ and $|U| < |G|$, by minimality of the pair $(G,H)$, $|I(U, U \cap H)| \leq 3$. If $|\mathcal{I}(U, U \cap H)|$=3, then $[G : H] = [U : U \cap H] = 3$ (see [6, Theorem A, p.2025]). But in this case $|\mathcal{I}(G,H)| = 3$, a contradiction. Therefore, $|\mathcal{I}(U, U \cap H)| = 1$ (Since $|\mathcal{I}(U, U \cap H)| \neq 2$ by [5, Theorem, p. 1718]). Also by Proposition 2.12, $\langle S \rangle = G$ for all $S \in \mathcal{T}(G,H)$. Following the steps of the first paragraph of the proof of [5, Proposition 2.8, p. 1721], replacing [5, Proposition 2.1, p. 1718] by Proposition 2.1(i), we find that $G \neq UH$, a contradiction to our assumption. Thus $UH \neq G$.

Assume that $H \nsubseteq U$. Then $\mathcal{T}(U, U \cap H) \subsetneq \mathcal{T}(UH,H)$. Since $U$ and $H$ are $Aut_HG$-invariant, by Lemma 3.2(i), $\mathcal{T}(U, U \cap H)$ and $\mathcal{T}(UH,H) \setminus \mathcal{T}(U, U \cap H)$ are $Aut_HG$-orbits. Let $T_1 \in \mathcal{T}(U, U \cap H)$ and $T_2 \in \mathcal{T}(UH,H) \setminus \mathcal{T}(U, U \cap H)$.

By Lemma 3.2(iii), either both $T_1$ and $T_2$ are subgroups of $G$ or both are not subgroups of $G$.

Assume that both $T_1$ and $T_2$ are subgroups of $G$. Thus each member of $\mathcal{T}(UH, H)$ is a subgroup of $UH$. Hence by [5, Lemma 2.4, p. 1719], $[UH : H] = 2$. By Lemma 2.11, $[G : UH] = 2$ or $[G : UH] = 3$.

Assume that $[G : UH] = 2$. Then $[G : H] = 4$. As $Core_G(H) = \{1\}$ (Proposition 2.1(i)), $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Sym(4)$. By Lemma 2.9(i), $G \cong D_8$ and $|H| = 2$. But in this case $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| = 6$ (Lemma 2.5), a contradiction.

Thus $[G : UH] = 3$. Then by Lemma 2.9(ii), $G \cong Alt(4)$ and $|H| = 2$ or $G \cong Alt(4) \times C_2$ and $H \cong C_2 \times C_2$. But for both choices $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| > 4$ (Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.10), a contradiction.

Thus $T_1$ and $T_2$ are not subgroups of $G$. Further, assume that $[U : U \cap H] > 2$. Let $h \in H \setminus U$. Let $u_2, u_3 \in T_1$ be distinct nontrivial elements. Let $T_2' = (T_1 \setminus \{u_2\}) \cup \{hu_2\}$ and $T_3 = (T_1 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}) \cup \{hu_2, hu_3\}$. Then $T_2'$ and $T_3$ are in same $Aut_H G$-orbit. This is a contradiction for $H$ and $U$ are $Aut_H G$-invariant and $h \notin U$. Thus $[U : U \cap H] = 2$. If possible, assume that $[G : HU] = 2$. Then $G$ will be isomorphic to a subgroup of $Sym(4)$. The only possibility we have in this case is $G \cong D_8$ and $H$ a non-normal subgroup of order 2. But, then $|\mathcal{I}(G, H)| = 6$ (Lemma 2.5). This is a contradiction. Thus $[G : HU] > 2$.

Let $h \in H \setminus U$. Let $T = \{1, u\} \in \mathcal{T}(U, U \cap H)$ and $L = \{1, l_2, \cdots, l_{r-1}, l_r\} \in \mathcal{T}(G, HU)$. Then $T_1 = \{1, hu\} \in \mathcal{T}(UH, H) \setminus \mathcal{T}(U, U \cap H)$. Consider $S_1 = TL$, $S_2 = T_1 L$, $S_3 = (S_2 \setminus (L \setminus \{1\})) \cup \{hl | l \in L \setminus \{1\}\}$, $S_4 = (S_1 \setminus \{l_r\}) \cup \{hl_r\}$ and $S_5 = (S_1 \setminus \{l_{r-1}, l_r\}) \cup \{hl_{r-1}, hl_r\}$. We claim that $S_i \neq T'L'$ $(3 \leq i \leq 5)$ for any $T' \in \mathcal{T}(UH, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, UH)$. If possible, suppose that $S_3 = T'L'$ for some $T' \in \mathcal{T}(UH, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, UH)$. Then $T_1 = S_3 \cap UH = T'$. Since $hl_r, hul_r \in S_3$ are in the same right coset of $HU$ in $G$, therefore $hl_r \in L'$ or $hul_r \in L'$. Assume that $hl_r \in L'$. Then $huhl_r \in S_3$. Since $HU$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $[U : U \cap H] = 2$, $uh = h'u$, for some $h' \in H \setminus U$. This implies that $huhl_r = hh'ul_r \in S_3$, a contradiction. Thus $hul_r \in L'$. Then $hu(hul_r) \in S_3$, a contradiction (for $huhu \neq h$ and $huhu \neq hu$).

Now, assume that $S_4 = T'L'$ for some $T' \in \mathcal{T}(UH, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, UH)$. Then $T = S_4 \cap UH = T'$. Since $hl_r, ul_r \in S_4$ are in the same right coset of $HU$ in $G$, therefore $hl_r \in L'$ or $ul_r \in L'$. Assume that $hl_r \in L'$. Then $u(hl_r) \in S_4$. As argued in the above paragraph, $u(hl_r) = h'ul_r \in S_4$ for some $h' \in H \setminus U$, a contradiction. Thus $ul_r \in L'$. Then $u^2 l_r \in S_4$, which is again a contradiction (for $[U : U \cap H] = 2$, $u^2 \in U \cap H$). Similarly, $S_5 \neq T'L'$ for any $T' \in \mathcal{T}(UH, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, UH)$.

We now claim that $S_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic NRTs in $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$. Since $S_k \neq T'L'$ $(3 \leq k \leq 5)$ for any $T' \in \mathcal{T}(UH, H)$ and $L' \in \mathcal{T}(G, UH)$, $S_j \ncong S_k$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2$, $3 \leq k \leq 5$. Assume that $S_1 \cong S_2$. By Proposition 2.2, there exists $f \in Aut_H G$ such that $f(S_1) = S_2$. Since $U$ and $H$ are $Aut_H G$-invariant subgroups of $G$, $hu = f(u) \in U$, a contradiction. Thus, $S_1 \ncong S_2$. Similarly, $S_3 \ncong S_4$ and $S_3 \ncong S_5$.

Next, assume that $S_4 \cong S_5$. Then there exists $f \in Aut_H G$ such that $f(S_4) = S_5$. Since $U$ is an $Aut_H G$-invariant subgroup of $G$, $f(u) = u$. Now there exist $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $k \in \{2, \cdots, r-1\}$ such that $f(u^i l_k) = hl_j$ for some $j \in \{r-1, r\}$. Assume that $i = 0$. Then as argued in the previous to the last above paragraph, there exists $h' \in H \setminus U$ such that $f(ul_k) = uhl_j = h'ul_j \in S_5$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f(ul_k) = hl_j$ for some $j \in \{r-1, r\}$. Then again there exists $h' \in H \setminus U$ such that $f(l_k) = u^{-1}hl_j = h'ul_j \in S_5$, a contradiction. Hence $S_4 \ncong S_5$. Thus each nontrivial characteristic subgroup $U$ contains $H$.

Let $N$ be the smallest characteristic subgroup of $G$ containing $H$. Then $N$ is a direct product of isomorphic simple groups ([11, 3.3.15]). Thus there exists $K \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ which is a subgroup of $N$. By Lemma 3.2(i),(iii) all $K \in \mathcal{T}(N, H)$ are subgroups of $N$. Thus by [5, Lemma 2.4, p.1719], $[N : H] = 2$. Hence $N$ is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $G$ containing $H$. By Lemma 2.11, $[G : N] = 2$ or $[G : N] = 3$. Now, as argued in the third and fourth paragraphs, we get a contradiction.

□

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let $(G, H)$ be a minimal counterexample. Then $G$ is simple.*

*Proof.* Since $G$ is indecomposable (Proposition 2.13) and characteristically simple (Proposition 3.3), by [11, 3.3.15] $G$ is a simple group. □

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let $(G, H)$ be a minimal counterexample. Let $S \in \mathcal{T}(G, H)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{L \in \mathcal{T}(G, H) | L \cong S\}$. Then $|\mathcal{A}| < \frac{m^{n-1}}{4}$, where $m$ and $n$ are the order and the index of $H$ in $G$ respectively.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.12, $\langle S\rangle = G$. Now the proof follows from the proof of [6, Proposition 3.4, p. 2035].

$\square$

*Proof of the Main Theorem.* Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$ and $\mathcal{A}_4$ denote the distinct isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{T}(G, H)$. Then by Proposition 3.5 , $m^{n-1} = |\mathcal{T}(G, H)| < 4(\frac{m^{n-1}}{4})$, a contradiction.

$\square$

# References

[1] J. M. Burns and B. Goldsmith, *Maximal Order Abelian Subgroup of Symmetric Group*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 21 (1989), 70-72.

[2] William Burnside, *Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1897).

[3] Cameron, Peter J., *http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/pjc/preprints/transgenic.pdf*

[4] The GAP Group, , *GAP-Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.10, 2007*, http://www.gap-system.org.

[5] V.K. Jain, R.P. Shukla, *On the isomorphism classes of transversals*, Comm. Alg. 36 (5) (2008), 1717-1725.

[6] V.K. Jain, R.P. Shukla, *On the isomorphism classes of transversals-II*, Comm. Alg. 39 (6) (2011), 2024-2036.

[7] V.K. Jain, *On the isomorphism classes of transversals-III*, arXiv:1112.5530v1 [math.GR].

[8] Vipul Kakkar, R. P. Shukla, *Some Characterizations of a Normal Subgroup of a Group*, arXiv:1202.5626v2 [math.GR].

[9] R. Lal, *Transversals in Groups*, J. Algebra 181 (1996), 70-81.

[10] R. Lal and R. P. Shukla, *Perfectly stable subgroups of finite groups*, Comm. Algebra 24(2) (1996), 643-657.

[11] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[12] R. P. Shukla, *Congruences In Right Quasigroups and General Extensions*, Comm. Algebra 23(7) (1995), 2679-2695.

[13] J. D. H. Smith, *An Introduction to Quasigroups and Their Representations*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007.

[14] Michio Suzuki, *Group Theory I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[15] Louis Weisner, *On the Sylow Subgroups of the Symmetric and Alternating Groups*, American Journal of Mathematics, 47(2)(Apr., 1925), 121-124.